



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6717
Title of the Manuscript:	Intelligent Ultrasonic Power Supply based on HPWM
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The paper needs editing. The written English should be improved; 2. The figure of the experiment should be given in the paper. 3. Figure1 is not so clear;	1. I've modified my manuscript according to the template you given, and improved the written English. Thank you! 2. As you proposed, I have added another experimental waveform, Thank you! 3. I have re-edited Figure1. Thank you!
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		